

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

- 7. Russia agrees to dispatch two army corps, followed by more if necessary.
- 8. Hostilities may be continued against the Entente without previous reference to the national councils of both countries.

On December 3 it was announced that the Armenian Republic had agreed to become friendly in its relations with Russia, and that the latter power, in consequence, had called off the attacks of the Turkish Nationalists and of its own forces, and that Armenia was "at peace."

Naturally, this "accomplished fact" disconcerted the major powers of the West; it made of none effect the negotiations of the League for "mediation" and at once made perilous the remedial labors of the Near East Relief Fund representatives, who are under the ban of the Russian Government.

THE MILITARY, NAVAL, AND AIR COMMISSION

Wishing to have the United States represented in a "consultative" capacity on the League's permanent military, naval, and air commission, the President of the Council of the League, late in November, cabled to Washington the following communication:

The Council of the League of Nations, acting on a unanimous recommendation of the permanent military, naval, and air commission of the League, passed at its meeting in Geneva on November 25, invites the Government of the United States to name representatives to sit on that commission in a consultative capacity during the study by the commission of the question of the reduction of armaments—a study which the Council has requested the commission to undertake forthwith.

The permanent advisory commission was constituted by the Council of the League at its meeting in Rome last May, and held its first session at San Sebastian in August. The commission is at present composed of military, naval, and aërial officers of States represented on the Council of the League. Its decisions are purely advisory and not in any sense binding, but they represent the common technical judgment of the experts of many countries.

It would of course be perfectly understood that the presence of the representatives of the United States would in no way commit the American Government to whatever opinions may be finally put forward in the report of the commission. Nor, indeed, can that report itself be more than a basis for the consideration by the members of the League of the measures of reductions in armaments which united action may enable them to achieve. Nevertheless, just as in the case of the financial conference at Brussels, the presence of an American representative, whose function was only that of giving and receiving information, was an important factor in the success of the work of the conference, so it cannot be doubted that the general consideration of the subject of the reduction of armaments will be greatly facilitated if the Government of the United States can see its way to be represented in a similar manner at the meetings of the permanent advisory commission.

The problem is one to which public opinion in all countries attaches the highest importance.

It is unnecessary to point out that the reduction of armaments is essential for the well-being of the world, and that unless some measures of relief can be found by international co-operation for the excessive taxation due to armaments, the general economic situation must become increasingly worse.

The Council in extending this invitation cannot but hope that the Government of the United States, particularly in view of the attitude of America toward the question of the competition in armaments, will not refuse to associate itself with the governments of the members of the League in beginning the preliminary work necessary for ultimate success and to lend to the present effort an assistance which can in no way encroach upon its own perfect liberty of action.

MEXICO AND THE UNITED STATES

At midnight, November 30, General Alvaro Obregon took the oath of office and became President of the Republic of Mexico, the fourth official of that rank to assume such responsibilities in days of peace and with no revolt under way anywhere within the State. As is the custom, he gave no inaugural message, either on taking the oath or in meeting the national legislature the next day.

But the day prior to his inauguration he sent forth a statement, which we append, that may be fairly deemed his working policy as an executive in whom there is more confidence, both within and without the Republic, than has been given to any President for many years past. General Obregon (which, by the way, is Mexican for "O'Brien") said in this statement:

The provisional government under President de la Huerta did not request such membership, and it is the intention of this government to continue this policy of aloofness, as Mexico in making overtures for membership in the League would engage in a humiliating act, entirely inconsistent with its traditional national pride.

The great problem I face as the next Mexican executive is that of general reconstruction. I have reflected my attitude toward various problems in a series of projects which I have already submitted to Congress for consideration. Of first interest to Americans, of course, is the oil problem, and in this connection I might say that articles 14 and 27 will not be abrogated. But I am sure that within a short time a commission will be appointed to regulate the application of these articles. I do not intend to make any recommendations to Congress regarding petroleum matters beyond urging that the commission be established, and that all parties concerned be given fair and just treatment. I feel certain that this problem ultimately will be settled to the satisfaction of all concerned.

The most significant results accomplished by the Mexican Government which retired last night undoubtedly was the pacification of the Republic, which is a sequence to the revolutionary movement of last summer. The institution of economical methods in public administration, which has resulted in the equalization of the national budget and an approach to financial stability, is another significant result.

Problem of Labor

One of Mexico's greatest problems at present is that of labor. I am positive that radicalism here is not so wide-spread that it constitutes a menace to the peace of Mexico. However, I do recognize that the workers have a right to fight for the betterment of their conditions, and my government will lend all possible aid to secure such betterment, if the workers act within the law and order. I recently submitted a system of pensions and insurance policies for employees who have grown old in service or who have become disabled as a result of their work. Certain wage increases are also proposed by the law.

The constitution of 1917 delivers too much power to the chief executive without providing sufficient responsibilities, and it was the exercise of these almost tyrannical powers by Carranza that led to the revolution against him. The proposed law would make the President subject to trial if he in any way restrained the liberties of voters or attempted to prejudice elections, either federal or state; if he exerted pressure upon Congress or the Supreme Court to influence their action; if he attacked the sovereignty of any State; if he were a party to the formal administration of public funds or concluded treaties with foreign powers without the consent of Congress. The various members of the cabinet